IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRA/227/2006

INDIRA SHIRISH GANDHI ... Applicant
V/S
M/S. DASHUBHUJA BUILDERS AND ORS.... Respondents

SHRI SANJAY KSHIRSAGAR for applicant.

CORAM: D. G. KARNIK **J.**

DATE: 21st December, 2006

P.C.:

1. By this petition the applicant challenges the order dated 11th September 2006 passed by the Additional District Judge, Pune, dismissing the revision application filed by the revision applicant.
2. In my view sufficient grounds for review of the order were not made out. Sufficient cause was not shown for absence of the advocate of the respondent when the appellant was heard. The absence of the advocate cannot, in my view, be a ground for review. In any event I am of the view that the revision applicant is sufficiently protected and there is no need to exercise the revisional jurisdiction. Needless to say

that the observations made by the appellate court at the interlocutory stage would not bind the parties at the time of the final hearing. With these observations the revision application is dismissed.

**(D.G. KARNIK J.)**